

DEMOCRATIC NOMINATIONS.

FOR GOVERNOR,
HON. BERRIA MAGOFFIN,
of Mercer.

LIEUT. GOVERNOR,
HON. LYNN ROYD,
of Jefferson.

AUDITOR,
GRANT GREENE,
of Henderson.

TREASURER,
JAMES M. GERRARD,
of Franklin.

SUPERINTENDENT PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
ROBERT RICHARDSON,
of Kenton.

REGISTER LAND OFFICE,
THOMAS J. PRAZER,
of Breathitt.

PRESIDENT BOARD INTERNAL IMPROVEMENTS,
JAMES P. BATES,
of Jefferson.

ATTORNEY GENERAL,
A. J. JAMES,
of Franklin.

LOUISVILLE,
SATURDAY, MAY 14, 1859.

Disunion and Non-Intervention.—The Editor of the Journal.

We have some queer editors in Kentucky, and not infrequently we are doubtful whether to dis-

trust them or not. They are political empirics. They seem to have gotten their ideas of political

philosophy from the vendors of nostrums. They know a term with the ear, but they do not know

all the middle of their false doctrines. Just as the

quack does a panacea for all diseases, such is the

use made of disunion by the editor of the Journal

and of non-intervention by some others. The

editor of the Journal says that Congress has

the power, and that it is its duty, to protect

slavery in the Territories. These are his pre-

ferences, but what is his conclusion? Why, that

Congress don't extend slavery further, and do this

day, it don't matter. In other words, and do this

power and the duty in question pertain to the

rights of the South, as a full sister of the

North, it don't matter. The South can claim

the exercise of this power as her constitutional

right, but, failing to get justice, all that

she has to do is to secede. The editor of the

Journal suggests that the denial of this right

lead to another, and that another, and so on

until all of her rights guaranteed by the Con-

stitution are gone. The editor of the Journal

in the Union longer possible, the editor of the

Journal has his nostrum ready for the evil. He

brings forward his panacea, his king-cure-all, his

disunion. This is the editor of the Journal

and all the wounds of the degraded and op-

pressed South. At this point our old nostrum

is to secede. And all efforts to walk out of the

Union, instead of being kicked out, are to cease.

Again, some editors of the Kentucky press

the power and the duty of Congress to protect

slavery in the Territories. Their premises are

the same as those of the editor of the Journal.

But their conclusion is equally different. They

inferent. They say that it is not politic for Con-

gress to exercise this power nor do they

think that it is wise. They say that it is not

politic for Congress to exercise this power, and

not to acknowledge duty. And when these editors

are shown the absurdity of the position they

take, they say that they have no alternative

but to secede. They say that they have no

alternative but to secede. They say that they

have no alternative but to secede. They say

that they have no alternative but to secede.

They say that they have no alternative but

to secede. They say that they have no

alternative but to secede. They say that they

have no alternative but to secede. They say

that they have no alternative but to secede.

They say that they have no alternative but

to secede. They say that they have no

alternative but to secede. They say that they

have no alternative but to secede. They say

that they have no alternative but to secede.

They say that they have no alternative but

to secede. They say that they have no

alternative but to secede. They say that they

have no alternative but to secede. They say

that they have no alternative but to secede.

They say that they have no alternative but

to secede. They say that they have no

alternative but to secede. They say that they

have no alternative but to secede. They say

that they have no alternative but to secede.

They say that they have no alternative but

to secede. They say that they have no

alternative but to secede. They say that they

have no alternative but to secede. They say

that they have no alternative but to secede.

They say that they have no alternative but

to secede. They say that they have no

alternative but to secede. They say that they

have no alternative but to secede. They say

that they have no alternative but to secede.

They say that they have no alternative but

to secede. They say that they have no

alternative but to secede. They say that they

DEMOCRATIC POLICY.—The True Position—Advice to Democratic Candidates.

Some of the would-be Sons of the Democratic

Party in Kentucky have a great deal to say

of late about non-intervention. They use this

term just as the empiric does the quack medicines

with which he proposes to cure all diseases, no

matter how absurd, and to cure all evils, no

matter how intractable. The Democratic

policy, the Lexington Statesman, the

Frankfort Freeman, and some lesser lights of

a jangling hierarchy, cry out non-intervention

as a great principle, an argument, a reason, a

discreet conclusion, as the logical sequence of

their own premises. In few words, they use non-

intervention as a king-cure-all, a panacea for

all their political and practical evils, in political

philosophy and the Democratic creed.

Now, we must say to these editors, and to all

Democrats who are under their unfortunate influ-

ence, that the term non-intervention is not to be

used in the Cincinnati platform, adopted by the

National Convention, June 4th, 1858, nor in the

resolutions passed by the State Democratic Con-

vention at Frankfort, on the 4th of January last.

There is no such word used in either of these

platforms, and the editors who make such a fuss

about non-intervention profess to stand by the

resolutions of the Cincinnati platform. They

know, however, that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention, and

that the Cincinnati platform does not contain

the word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

DEMOCRATIC POLICY.—The True Position—Advice to Democratic Candidates.

Some of the would-be Sons of the Democratic

Party in Kentucky have a great deal to say

of late about non-intervention. They use this

term just as the empiric does the quack medicines

with which he proposes to cure all diseases, no

matter how absurd, and to cure all evils, no

matter how intractable. The Democratic

policy, the Lexington Statesman, the

Frankfort Freeman, and some lesser lights of

a jangling hierarchy, cry out non-intervention

as a great principle, an argument, a reason, a

discreet conclusion, as the logical sequence of

their own premises. In few words, they use non-

intervention as a king-cure-all, a panacea for

all their political and practical evils, in political

philosophy and the Democratic creed.

Now, we must say to these editors, and to all

Democrats who are under their unfortunate influ-

ence, that the term non-intervention is not to be

used in the Cincinnati platform, adopted by the

National Convention, June 4th, 1858, nor in the

resolutions passed by the State Democratic Con-

vention at Frankfort, on the 4th of January last.

There is no such word used in either of these

platforms, and the editors who make such a fuss

about non-intervention profess to stand by the

resolutions of the Cincinnati platform. They

know, however, that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention, and

that the Cincinnati platform does not contain

the word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

word non-intervention, and that the Cin-

cinnati platform does not contain the word

non-intervention, and that the Cincinnati

platform does not contain the word non-in-

tervention, and that the Cincinnati platform

does not contain the word non-intervention,

and that the Cincinnati platform does not

contain the word non-intervention, and that

the Cincinnati platform does not contain the

